



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/718,538

11/24/2003

Jean-Michel Bernardon

1034227-000650

1815

21839 7590 09/29/2008  
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC  
POST OFFICE BOX 1404  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

QAZI, SABIHA NAIM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1612

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/29/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/718,538	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BERNARDON, JEAN-MICHEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sabiha Qazi	<b>Art Unit</b> 1612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sabiha N. Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Gary D. Mangels (Attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Mangels called and discussed in detail the status of claims and rejection. Few possible amendments in claim 6 and 7 were discussed. Examiner pointed out "derivative" in claim 7 which may be deleted or amended. Examiner also discussed "pharmaceutically acceptable support" in claim 6. Mr. Mangels authorized the Examiner to amend the claims when agreement is reached for claims 6 and 7. Mr. Mangels agreed to delete "derivatives" from claim 7.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sabiha Qazi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612	
--	--